PORT COMMISSION BYLAWS REVISION PROPOSED AMENDMENTS For consideration on December 12, 2017, prior to Second Reading

AMENDMENT 1 – proposed by Commissioner Albro

(Revises text in sections 1 and 3 of the Object statement.)

In Article 1, Section 1, delete "The Port of Seattle was chartered by the voters of King County as a port district by special election on September 5, 1911. The Port of Seattle is a special-purpose corporation of the State of Washington that is governed by the Port of Seattle Commission. The Port of Seattle Commission is authorized to perform its responsibilities under Title 53 RCW and is charged with the responsibility to fulfill particular legislatively mandated purposes and objectives."

And insert in lieu thereof the following: <u>The Port of Seattle was chartered by the voters of King County as a port district by special election on September 5, 1911, as a special-purpose municipal corporation of the State of Washington. The Port of Seattle Commission is vested with all port powers and governs the Port of Seattle in accordance with Title 53 RCW to fulfill particular legislatively mandated purposes and objectives.</u>

And in Article 1, Section 3, delete "Commissioners shall safeguard the mission of the Port of Seattle as a public agency whose primary mission shall be to invest public resources to advance trade and commerce, promote industrial growth, preserve limited maritime and aviation resources of unique value for port uses, stimulate economic development, and create jobs. This mission depends upon the transportation of people and goods by air, water, and land, commitment to environmental stewardship, and collaboration with neighboring communities. It ensures economic vitality and a sustainable quality of life for all of the people of King County and the Puget Sound region."

 And insert in lieu thereof the following: <u>Commissioners shall serve the public and uphold the</u> <u>mission of the Port of Seattle as a public agency to create jobs by advancing trade and commerce, promoting industrial growth, and stimulating economic development.</u>

35	AMENDMENT 2 – proposed by Commissioner Albro
36	
37	(Revises the text in Article 2, Section 2, Collegiality.)
38	
39	In Article 2, Section 2, before "governs the Port of Seattle" insert: <u>exercises port powers and</u>
40	
41	(The amendment in context:)
42	
43	Collegiality. The commission <i>exercises port powers and</i> governs the Port of
44	Seattle only when a quorum of its membership is assembled in a properly
45	noticed public meeting and action is taken by the required vote.

46 47 48

(Creates an option to place actions on the consent calendar if the authorization amounts are between \$300,000 and \$1 million and construction contract extensions are between 60 and 120 days and allows them to be offered in a single action request.)

50 51 52

53 54

55

56

49

In Article 4, Section 5(g), after "do not require a public hearing or amendment" insert the following: <u>The consent calendar may include any action for which the requested dollar amount of the authorization lies between the value threshold delegated to the executive director (generally \$300,000) and \$1,000,000 or for which construction contract time extensions are requested between 60 days and 120 days and staff may submit an agenda memorandum for multiple actions of this kind with a brief description of each action.</u>

57 58 59

(The amendment in context:)

60 61

62 63

64

65 66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73 74

75

76 77

78 79

80

Unanimous consent calendar, Items on the consent calendar shall include routine matters and actions considered by the president to have general consensus of all commissioners, including approval of the minutes of prior meetings available for commission approval. Resolutions may be included on the consent calendar for final adoption if they are routine and considered by the president to have general consensus of all commissioners, have been introduced on a prior day, and do not require a public hearing or amendment. The consent calendar may include any action for which the requested dollar amount of the authorization lies between the value threshold delegated to the executive director (generally \$300,000) and \$1,000,000 or for which construction contract time extensions are requested between 60 days and 120 days and staff may submit an agenda memorandum for multiple actions of this kind with a brief description of each action. Items on the consent calendar shall not be subject to discussion or debate and shall be decided by a single vote. Any commissioner present at the time of consideration of approval of the agenda may request removal of an item from the unanimous consent calendar for separate consideration and vote. Items removed from the consent calendar for separate consideration and vote shall become special orders for the day and shall be taken up following those items previously scheduled for consideration as special orders.

AMENDMENT 4 – proposed by commission staff

(Articulates a procedure for handling technical aspects of 24-hour meeting notice when waiver of written notice in invoked under RCW 42.30.080.)

In Article 5, Section 6, insert the following new subsection (c):

When special meetings are called with less than 24 hours' notice pursuant to notice waiver under this section, written notice shall be posted as far ahead of convening such a special meeting as practicable through the usual methods required by law and these bylaws. If a special meeting is called with less than 24 hours' notice, other than to deal with an emergency involving injury or damage to persons or property as described in RCW 42.30.080, and any commissioner has not filed a written waiver of notice as prescribed in this section, and such commissioner is not present when the special meeting convenes, the special meeting shall immediately adjourn to a time that is not less than 24 hours later than the actual time of posting the written notice for such a special meeting. The procedures for adjourning a special meeting shall apply under these circumstances as described by law and these bylaws.

AMENDMENT 5 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

(Restores the requirement to record executive sessions. This feature was not carried forward into the proposed revised text. Annual monitoring by outside counsel is not proposed at this time.)

In Article 4, Section 8, insert a new subsection (b) as follows and renumber the subsequent subsections:

Recording of executive sessions. Executive sessions shall be recorded electronically, and the general counsel shall be the record holder of the original recordings. Executive sessions held for the purpose of discussing evaluation of qualifications for public employment or review of the performance of a public employee as described in RCW 42.30.110(1)(g) shall be exempt from the recording requirements of this section. Executive sessions held to discuss other matters authorized by RCW 42.30.110 may be made exempt from recording by a motion decided prior to convening the executive session pursuant to the voting requirements of these bylaws. The extent to which the executive session or parts thereof shall be exempt from recording shall be stated in the motion, which shall be decided in public session. Outside counsel shall annually monitor the commission's compliance with Chapter 42.30 RCW, the Open Public Meetings Act, and other laws by reviewing recordings of commission executive sessions on a representative sampling basis.

AMENDMENT 6 – proposed by commission staff

(Provides a mechanism to refer policy-related work to a committee, while maintaining the commission's control over the matters referred.)

In Article 5, insert the following new Section 6:

Referral to committee. Topics that involve establishment or revision of policy directives or governance structures shall be referred to a committee of appropriate purview for recommendations on action by the commission. Other matters may be referred to appropriate committees at the discretion of the commission by public action. If there is no standing or special committee of appropriate purview constituted for the particular matter, one shall be constituted by a charter adopted pursuant to the requirements of these bylaws. Such committee referral shall be made by the president, or may be ordered by the commission by public action. Notwithstanding the timeline set in the committee's charter for consideration and recommendation to the commission, the commission may, by a vote of a majority of its membership, discharge a committee from further consideration of a particular matter. The motion to so discharge shall refer the matter to a different committee or place it on the agenda for commission consideration at an appropriate time.

(Documents for transparency an informal opinion-polling process currently in use, though infrequently needed. Adds for clarity when the process is invoked, and adds a process for ratifying public statements by a vote in public session.)

 In Article 6, insert the following new Section 3:

Informal polling of commissioner opinions.

- (a) When it is desirable in the opinion of the commission president for the opinion of the port commission to be reported publicly in support or opposition to any position affecting port interests and when circumstances prevent formal public action on a specific position or view, the president, or professional staff acting on behalf of the commission, may conduct an informal poll of commissioners' individual opinions. Opinions polled in this manner shall not involve meetings of more than two commissioners at a time and shall be characterized transparently in these or similar words when reported publicly: "Although the Port of Seattle Commission has not formally taken a position on ... by action in a public session, all commissioners (or a majority of commissioners) have individually expressed the opinion that...."
- (b) When a common view of the commission is thus represented, it shall be reported in writing to the commission at its next public meeting as a special order of business. The presiding officer shall put the question in the form of a request for objection to the reported statement. Absent an objection, the collective view expressed shall be considered ratified by unanimous consent and the statement on the matter shall be entered into the record of the public meeting.
- (c) The question on ratification of an opinion statement reported pursuant to this section shall not be subject to amendment and shall require a unanimous vote of all commissioners to pass; provided consent may be given in advance in writing in the case of any commissioner who may be absent from the meeting at which ratification is requested. Such written consent shall be included in the record of the meeting for which it is granted.
- (d) In the event of an objection to the reported statement described in this section, it shall fail to pass. In order to provide a complete and transparent record of opinion statements polled in this manner, the reported statement shall be entered upon the record of the meeting at which the question is decided even if the question of ratification fails to pass.
- (e) <u>The commission clerk shall provide a form for the giving of consent to ratification of an informally polled opinion statement.</u>

And in Article 6, Section 13, "Questions requiring unanimous consent of all commissioners, insert the following new subsection (a):

175 <u>Ratification of a statement of commission opinion polled informally, as described in Article VI,</u>
176 <u>Section 3.</u>

177	AMENDMENT 8 – provided based on commissioner comments
178	
179	(Would increase the total amount of time for public comment as an order of business from 45
180	to 60 minutes.)
181	
182	In Article 6, Section 11(b) "Rules governing public comment," delete "45" and insert in lieu
183	thereof: <u>60</u>

AMENDMENT 9 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

184 185 186

(Requires a 24-hour filing deadline for substantive amendments to actions on the agenda.)

187188

189

190

191 192

193

In Article 6, Section 5, after "repeated by the presiding officer prior to taking a vote on the amendment as a subsidiary question" insert the following: <u>Amendments filed in writing with the commission clerk at least 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a majority vote of the membership for passage. Amendments offered less than 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a vote of two-thirds of the membership for passage.</u>

194 195 196

(The amendment in context:)

197 198

199

200

201

202

203204

205

206

207208

209

210

211

212

213

214215

216

217

Amendment of questions. Once a motion has been made or a requested action filed by virtue of its inclusion on an approved agenda, it shall be modified only by amendment. Any commissioner, including the presiding officer, may offer an amendment to a question that is subject to amendment. Amendments other than simple amendments to procedural motions shall be offered in writing and their content repeated by the presiding officer prior to taking a vote on the amendment as a subsidiary question. Amendments filed in writing with the commission clerk at least 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a majority vote of the membership for passage. Amendments offered less than 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a vote of two-thirds of the membership for passage. Amendments shall be subject to a vote for adoption. Amendments that are not controversial and have clear unanimous support may be adopted by unanimous consent, provided they are submitted in writing and are repeated when the presiding officer announces the outcome of the vote. Amendments adopted by unanimous consent shall be recorded in the minutes as adopted "without objection." Amendments are subsidiary questions and shall be considered after acceptance of a motion and second on the main question to which they are attached and shall be decided before the vote on the main question.

AMENDMENT 10 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

(Adds a reference to commission's commitment to the port statement of values and port code of ethics/workplace responsibility policy)

 In Article 2, Section 5(a), delete "Commissioners shall uphold the standard of conduct described in the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics for Port Commissioners and shall avoid conflicts of interest and the appearance of conflicts of interest when performing their duties as port commissioners" and insert the following in lieu thereof: Commissioners shall uphold the standard of conduct reflected in the Port of Seattle Statement of Values and further described in the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics and Workplace Conduct and the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics for Port Commissioners. Commissioners shall avoid conflicts of interest and the appearance of conflicts of interest when performing their duties as port commissioners

(The amendment in context:)

Commissioners shall uphold the standard of conduct described in the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics for Port Commissioners and shall avoid conflicts of interest and the appearance of conflicts of interest when performing their duties as port commissioners Commissioners shall uphold the standard of conduct reflected in the Port of Seattle Statement of Values and further described in the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics and Workplace Conduct and the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics for Port Commissioners. Commissioners shall avoid conflicts of interest and the appearance of conflicts of interest when performing their duties as port commissioners. Procedures related to alleged misconduct and potential conflict of interest are described in the Port of Seattle Code of Ethics for Port Commissioners, implementation of which is ensured by the commission's officers and an independent Board of Ethics.

AMENDMENT 11 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

(Provides additional latitude to the scope of topics on which the commission president serves as a spokesperson for the commission.)

In Article 3, Section 5(f), after "that have been established by action taken in public session" insert the following: <u>or that are consistent with the policies, statements, and actions of the port commission.</u>

And in Article 3, Section 5(f), after "case-by-case basis." insert the following: <u>When acting as</u> the commission's spokesperson, the president shall exercise care to distinguish between opinions or positions held collectively by the port commission which are based on actions taken in public session and those views or positions which it is the president's opinion reflect the general sentiment of port commissioners.

(The amendment in context:)

Commission spokesperson. The president shall be the spokesperson for the commission in expressing views held collectively by the Port of Seattle Commission that have been established by action taken in public session or that are consistent with the policies, statements, and actions of the port commission. The president may delegate this role on a case-by-case basis. When acting as the commission's spokesperson, the president shall exercise care to distinguish between opinions or positions held collectively by the port commission which are based on actions taken in public session and those views or positions which it is the president's opinion reflect the general sentiment of port commissioners. Article VI, Section 3, describes the procedures to be used for informal polling of commissioner opinions when circumstances prevent formal action in public session.